	Case 2:21-cv-02422-MCE-AC Document 1	Filed 12/29/21 Page 1 of 10			
1	Joshua H. Watson (CA SBN 238058)				
2	CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, APC 865 Howe Avenue				
3	Sacramento, California 95825 Telephone: 916-777-777 Facsimile: 916-924-1829 jwatson@justice4you.com				
4					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JIM WRIGHT				
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9	JIM WRIGHT, and JACQUI WRIGHT	CASE NO.:			
10	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES			
11					
12	V.	JURY TRIAL DEMAND			
13	DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., DePUY INC., DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,				
14	JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON &				
15	JOHNSON INTERNATIONAL,				
16 17	Defendants.				
18	Related to:				
19	MDL No. 2197 in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Western Division,				
20	Case No. MDL No. 1:10 md 2197				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	i COMPLAINT				
	COMI LAINT				

## 

1	Plaintiffs file this Complaint in	the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of	
2	California. The matter is properly a member case in MDL No. 2197 pending in the Northern		
3	District of Ohio, Western Division, Case No. 1:10-md-2197. The matter is filed in the Eastern		
4	District of California, where it may also be properly filed, after attempts to file directly into the		
5	MDL have been unsuccessful due to difficulties with ECF access. Plaintiffs consent to prompt		
6	transfer of this matter.		
7	The attached Short Form Complaint and related operative Long Form Complaint in the		
8	MDL are hereby incorporated by reference.		
9			
10	Dated: December 29, 2021	CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, APC	
11			
12		By. /s/ Joshua H. Watson	
13		JOSHUA H. WATSON Attorneys for Plaintiff JIM WRIGHT	
14			
15	<b>DEM</b> A	AND FOR JURY TRIAL	
16	Plaintiffs demand jury trial.		
17	Trainents defining jury trial.		
18			
19	Dated: December 29, 2021	CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, APC	
20			
21		By. <u>/s/ Joshua H. Watson</u> JOSHUA H. WATSON	
22		Attorneys for Plaintiff JIM WRIGHT	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		ii	
		COMPLAINT	

### EXHIBIT A

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	) MDL No. 1: 10 md 2197 ) SHORT FORM COMPLAINT ) FOR ) DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ) ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS ) LIABILITY LITIGATION
This applies to:	- <del></del>
Jim Wright v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. e	et al. JURY TRIAL DEMAND
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	
DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., DePUY INC., DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMIT JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC. JOHNSON & JOHNSON INTERNATION	TED,
Defendants	
ADDDEVIATED CHODT FORM COM	 IPLAINT FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS.

ABBREVIATED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case: 1:10-md-02197-JJH Doc #: 129-1 Filed: 04/06/11 2 of 8. PageID #: 695 Case 2:21-cv-02422-MCE-AC Document 1 Filed 12/29/21 Page 4 of 10

Plaintiff(s), Jim Wright, states and brings this civil action before the 1. Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio as a related action in the matter entitled IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION, MDL No. 2197. Plaintiff is filing this short form complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No.4 of this Court. ALLEGATIONS AS TO VENUE Venue of this case is appropriate in the Northern District Court of 2. State of Ohio Plaintiff states that but for the Order permitting direct filing into the Northern District of Ohio pursuant to Case Management Order No 4, Plaintiff would have filed in the Eastern District Court of the State of California Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that at the time of transfer of this action back to the trial court for further proceedings that this case be transferred to the above referenced District Court. Plaintiff Jim Wright is a resident and citizen of Sacramento, 3. Jacqui Wright California and claims damages as set forth below. Plaintiff's Spouse , is a resident and citizen of Sacramento, CA and claims damages as a result of loss of consortium. [Cross out Spousal Claim if Not Applicable] 4. Plaintiff was born on \_02/18/1955 5. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the of the having been duly

appointed as the \_\_\_\_\_ by the \_\_\_\_ Court of

Administration for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are required

\_\_\_\_\_. [Cross out if Not Applicable] A copy of the Letters of

Case: 1:10-md-02197-JJH Doc #: 129-1 Filed: 04/06/11 3 of 8. PageID #: 696 Case 2:21-cv-02422-MCE-AC Document 1 Filed 12/29/21 Page 5 of 10

for the commencement of such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court of the jurisdiction of the decedent.

Plaintiff claims damages as a result of:
X injury to herself/himself
injury to the person represented
wrongful death
survivorship action
X economic loss
loss of services
X loss of consortium
ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES
6. Plaintiff was implanted with a DePuy ASR hip implant on his/her
right hip on or about 04/26/06 (date) at the Kaiser (medical center), in
Sacramento, California by Dr. David Bovil.
7. Plaintiff was implanted with a DePuy ASR hip implant on his/her
left hip on or about 12/05/07 (date) at the Kaiser (medical center), in
Sacramento, California, by Dr. David Bovil. [Cross out if not bilateral ASR hips]
8. On or about $9/24/20$ (date), Plaintiff suffered the following personal
and economic injur(ies) as a result of the implantation with the ASR hip implant:
pain, suffering, medical expenses ;and

further, Plaintiffs reserve all rights under law to assert all damages, regardless of date caused by Defendants' products or actions, not withstanding the format of this short form Plaintiff had the Right ASR hip implant explanted on 9/24/20, at 9. (medical center and address) by Dr. Mark G. Sucher or Plaintiff will Kaiser be having the \_\_\_\_\_ASR hip explanted on or about , or Plaintiff has not yet scheduled an explantation of the ASR hip implant. [Cross out inapplicable sections] Plaintiff had the left ASR hip implant explanted on about 11/1/20, at 10. (medical center and address) by Dr. Mark G. Sucher or Plaintiff will Kaiser be having the \_\_\_\_\_ASR hip explanted on or about \_\_\_\_\_. Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and 11. explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the defendants and are incorporated by reference herein. At the time of implantation with the ASR hip implant, 12. 8689 Tioga Woods Dr, Sacramento, CA 95828 the Plaintiff resided at \_\_ [Address, city, state, zip] The defendants by their actions or inactions, proximately caused 13. Plaintiff's injuries. The plaintiff could not have known that the injuries he/she suffered were 14. as a result of a defect in the ASR hip implant until after the date the device was recalled

from the market and the plaintiff came to learn of the recall.

- 15. The plaintiff could not have known that he/she was injured by excessive levels of chromium and cobalt until after the date he/she had his/her blood drawn and he/she was advised of the results of said blood-work.
- 16. As a result of the injuries Plaintiff(s) sustained, he/she/they are entitled to recover compensatory damages for pain and suffering and emotional distress (if applicable) and for economic loss as well as punitive damages.

# ALLEGATIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

- 17. The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein adopted by reference:
  - X FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE);
  - X SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE PER SE);
  - X THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-DEFECTIVE DESIGN)
  - X FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-MANUFACTURING DEFECT);
  - X FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-FAILURE TO WARN);
  - X SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY);
  - X
    SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
    (BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO MERCHANTABILITY)
  - X EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES);

\_X NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION); X TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT); X **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION** (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION); X TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUD AND DECEIT); THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION X (UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER STATE LAW); X FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (MISREPRESENTATION BY OMISSION); X FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD); X SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION X (INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS); X EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (GROSS NEGLIGENCE/MALICE); X NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM); X TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION (PUNITIVE DAMAGES) X TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (MEDICAL MONITORING) X TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE STATE CONSUMER FRAUD STATUTE) – SPECIFY THE STATUTE ALLEGED X TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Case: 1:10-md-02197-JJH Doc #: 129-1 Filed: 04/06/11 7 of 8. PageID #: 700 Case 2:21-cv-02422-MCE-AC Document 1 Filed 12/29/21 Page 9 of 10

(RESTITUTION OF ALL PURCHASE COSTS AND DISGORGEMENT OF ALL PROFITS FROM MONIES THAT PLAINTIFF INCURRED IN PURCHASE OF THE HIP IMPLANT)

LAINTIFF(S) ASSERT(S) THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL STATE CAUSES OF	ì
CTION:	

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages requested and according to proof;
- 2. For punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants;
- 3. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- 4. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- 5. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- 6. For prejudgment interest and the costs of suit; and

Case: 1:10-md-02197-JJH Doc #: 129-1 Filed: 04/06/11 8 of 8. PageID #: 701 Case 2:21-cv-02422-MCE-AC Document 1 Filed 12/29/21 Page 10 of 10

- 7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper;
- 8. For default judgment purposes, up to \$4,000,000.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

	Respectfully submitted Counsel for Plaintiff(s) Joshua H. Watson
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date: 12/6/2021	/s/ Joshua H. Watson